UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ALBERTO RAMOS,

Plaintiff

v.

Case No. 4:23-cv-2517

SCOTT ERWIN, JENNIFER GILBREATH, HALLIE SMITH, FREDRICK MORRISON, GINO DAGO,

JURY DEMAND

Defendants.

CONSENT MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR CONFIDENTIALITY AND PROTECTIVE ORDER AND DEFENDANTS' REPLY THERETO

Mr. Alberto Ramos brings this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 case against Houston Police Department ("HPD") Officers Scott Irwin, Gino Dago, Frederick Morrison, Hallie Smith, and Jennifer Gilbreath (collectively, "Defendants") under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for violating his Fourth Amendment Rights to be free from unlawful arrest and excessive force. *See* Doc. 1 ("Complaint"). Plaintiff requests a one-day extension of time to file his response in opposition to Defendants' Motion for Confidentiality and Protective Order, which was filed on May 20, 2025 (Doc. 52), pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1)(C). Defendants also request an extension to file their Reply thereto on June 6, 2025.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND REQUEST

On Wednesday, May 21, 2025, the parties appeared before the Court for a Scheduling Conference. During the Scheduling Conference, the Honorable Keith Ellison advised Plaintiff to file an Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Protective Order in 10 days, which would be Saturday, May 31, 2025. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1)(C), which instructs parties that

deadline periods run until the end of the next day that is not a weekend or holiday when a deadline falls on a weekend or holiday, Plaintiff understood the deadline to be Monday, June 2, 2025.

and of a weekend of northary, I familiff anderstood the deadline to be infordary, value 2, 2025.

On Wednesday, May 28, 2025, the Parties received a Minute Entry for Proceedings in the

case, which notes that Plaintiff's Opposition is due on Friday, May 30, 2025 and Defendants'

Reply thereto is due on Tuesday, June 3, 2025. Plaintiff promptly contacted the Court, with

Defendants' counsel copied, to inquire about filing said Opposition on June 2, 2025 pursuant to

Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a)(1)(C). On Thursday, May 29, 2025, the Court advised Plaintiff to file a Motion

for Extension of Time. Defendants indicated their consent to Plaintiff filing the Motion and also

requested an extension to June 6, 2025.

CONCLUSION

The parties respectfully request an extension to file their Opposition and Reply. Plaintiff

requests an extension of (1) one day to file a response in opposition to Defendants' Motion for

Confidentiality and Protective Order, making the new deadline Monday, June 2, 2025. Defendants

request an extension to file their Reply on June 6, 2025.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of May, 2025.

/s/ Destiny Planter

Caitlin Halpern (Texas Bar No. 24116474; S.D. Tex. Bar No. 3454643)*

caitlin.halpern@gmail.com

4416 Bell Street

Houston, TX 77023

110051011, 171 77025

Telephone: (571) 215-2002

Destiny Planter (pro hac vice)

Washington, D.C. Bar No. 1780910

destiny@civilrightscorps.org

Brittany Francis (pro hac vice)

brittany@civilrightscorps.org

1601 Connecticut Ave. NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20009 Telephone: (202) 844-4975 *Attorney-in-Charge

Counsel for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF LCvR 7(m) COMPLIANCE

On May 30, 2025, I communicated with Counsel for the Defendants regarding this Motion.

Counsel consents to the relief sought herein.

/s/ Destiny Planter Counsel for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ALBERTO RAMOS,	
Plaintiff	
v.	Case No. 4:23-cv-2517
SCOTT ERWIN, JENNIFER GILBREATH, HALLIE SMITH, FREDRICK MORRISON, GINO DAGO,	JURY DEMAND
Defendants.	
[PROPOSED] ORDER	
Upon consideration of the parties' Consent Motion for Extension of Time to File Plaintiff's	
Response in Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Confidentiality and Protective Order and	
Defendants' Reply Thereto, the Court finds good cause for granting the parties' Motion.	
It is ORDERED that the parties' Motion is GRANTED ; it is further	
ORDERED that Plaintiff shall have until June 2, 2025, to file his response in opposition	
to Defendants' Motion for Confidentiality and Protective Order; it is further	
ORDERED that Defendants shall have until June 6, 2025 to file their Reply thereto.	
SO ORDERED.	
Dated:	

THE HONORABLE KEITH ELLISON

United States District Judge